

Solicitation # 89303319REM000057
 Paducah Infrastructure Support Services
 Amendment 0004
 Summary of Changes

The purpose of this amendment is to amend solicitation 89303319REM000057, Paducah Infrastructure Support Services, as described below and incorporated in the conformed copy of the solicitation. All other sections of the Final RFP remain unchanged. The Offerors are responsible for reviewing the redline and final conformed copy of the solicitation to ensure incorporation of the requirements identified therein. Amendment 0004 to 89303319REM000057 is as follows:

Number	RFP Section Reference	Change from:	Change to:
1.	Section L (L.10 DOE-L-2001 Proposal Preparation Instructions – General (OCT 2015) Alternate I and Alternate II (OCT 2015) (REVISED) Referenced Page L-7)	<p>Note: The United States Parcel Service does not deliver to the above address. Offerors delivering proposals via hand-carry or Express Mail to the above address should arrange for delivery between the hours of 8:30am – 4:00pm Eastern Time and contact the Contracting Officer in advance to advise of the anticipated delivery date and time.</p> <p>In addition, Offerors shall provide USB flash drives that are clearly labeled with the Offeror’s name, Request for Proposal (RFP) number, volume number and copy number. The proposals provided via USB flash drive copies are provided for Source Evaluation Board evaluation convenience only. In the event of a conflict, the hard copy material takes precedence over the electronic submission. The original proposal shall contain signed originals of all documents requiring signatures by the Offeror. Use of reproductions of signed originals is authorized in all other copies of the proposal.</p> <p>The original, signed, hard copy submission of the proposal shall be considered the Offeror’s official offer and will be considered binding.</p>	<p>Note: The United States Parcel Service does not deliver to the above address. Offerors delivering proposals via hand-carry or Express Mail to the above address should arrange for delivery between the hours of 8:30am – 4:00pm Eastern Time and contact the Contracting Officer in advance to advise of the anticipated delivery date and time.</p> <p>In addition, Offerors shall provide USB flash drives that are clearly labeled with the Offeror’s name, Request for Proposal (RFP) number, volume number and copy number. The proposals provided via USB flash drive copies are provided for Source Evaluation Board evaluation convenience only. In the event of a conflict, the hard copy material takes precedence over the electronic submission. The original proposal shall contain signed originals of all documents requiring signatures by the Offeror. The original proposal may contain printed versions of visibly identifiable and time-stamped digital signatures identical to those submitted with the electronic proposal. See FAR 2.101 for the definition of “Signature” or “Signed” as intended by this Request for Proposal.</p>

			The original, signed, hard copy submission of the proposal shall be considered the Offeror's official offer and will be considered binding.
2.	Section M (M.6 DOE-M-2008 Evaluation Factor 3- Past Performance (OCT 2015) (REVISED), Referenced Page M-6)	<p>(a) Offeror past performance. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the favorability of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the requirements of the Section C PWS. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Section C PWS); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value) and contract period of performance; and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., performing under a firm-fixed-price environment, types of road repairs, types of facilities, industrial hazards and interfaces with DOE and other government contractors). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p> <p>DOE will evaluate recent past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have been completed within the last five (5) years from the original solicitation issuance date. More recent past performance information may be given greater consideration.</p> <p>The Government will not apportion the favorability of past performance differently amongst the</p>	<p>(a) Offeror past performance. The Offeror, to include all members of a teaming arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), will be evaluated on the Government's assessment of relevant and recent past performance information obtained for the Offeror performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to the requirements of the Section C PWS. Similar scope, size, and complexity are defined as follows: scope – type of work (e.g., work as identified in the Section C PWS); size – dollar value (approximate average annual value) and contract period of performance; and complexity – performance challenges (e.g., performing under a firm-fixed-price environment, types of road repairs, types of facilities, industrial hazards and interfaces with DOE and other government contractors). The higher the degree of relevance of the work, the greater the consideration that may be given.</p> <p>DOE will evaluate recent past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have been completed within the last five (5) years from the original solicitation issuance date. More recent past performance information may be given greater consideration.</p>

		<p>members of a Contractor's Teaming Arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), on a past performance contract, as each entity is considered to be responsible for overall performance of the ongoing or prior contract. All partner companies on past performance contracts will be equally credited (positively and negatively) for past performance with regard to favorability. However, relevancy determinations on a past performance contract may differ depending upon what scope each entity is proposed to perform.</p> <p>(b) Teaming Subcontractors. The Offeror's proposed Teaming Subcontractors as defined in Section L.10 (a)(2) will be evaluated on the favorability of the past performance information obtained for the Teaming Subcontractor performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to that proposed to be performed by that Teaming Subcontractor. DOE will evaluate past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have been completed within the last five (5) years from the original solicitation issuance date.</p>	<p>The Government will not apportion the assessment of past performance differently amongst the members of a Contractor's Teaming Arrangement, as defined in FAR 9.601(1), on a past performance contract, as each entity is considered to be responsible for overall performance of the ongoing or prior contract. All partner companies on past performance contracts will be equally credited (positively and negatively) for past performance information. However, relevancy determinations on a past performance contract may differ depending upon what scope each entity is proposed to perform.</p> <p>(b) Teaming Subcontractors. The Offeror's proposed Teaming Subcontractors as defined in Section L.10 (a)(2) will be evaluated on the assessment of the past performance information obtained for the Teaming Subcontractor performing work similar in scope, size, and complexity to that proposed to be performed by that Teaming Subcontractor. DOE will evaluate past performance information for contracts that are currently being performed or have been completed within the last five (5) years from the original solicitation issuance date.</p>
--	--	--	---